

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,776	GOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Saif A. Alhija	2128	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Saif A. Alhija.

(3) Edward Tracy, 47998.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

See Continuation Sheet


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of claims discussed: The Examiner indicated to Applications representative, Edward Tracy, that the limitations amended for claim 1 were not present in the second independent claim 7. Further, Applicants representative had argued that claim 7 depended from claim 1. Mr. Tracy confirmed that claim 7 is independent and the Examiner was permitted to include the identical limitations amended into claim 1 for claim 7..